

Systemic Lupus Erythematosus assessment and endpoints review

Abstract

Systemic Lupus Erythematosus (SLE) clinical trials are inherently complex, and several outcome measures and endpoints can be used in trial design.¹ To date, three compounds have been approved for SLE. Unmet medical needs include residual disease activity, frequent flares, glucocorticoid toxicity, comorbidities and organ damage with low daily quality of life.² In this review, we provide an overview of the current assessments and endpoints frequently used in SLE clinical trials to obtain an optimal evaluation of outcomes, monitor patients and assess responses to new drugs being developed.

Keywords: SLE, endpoints, disease activity index, flares, clinical trials

Contributor

Andrea Buschaizzo, MD, MBA, Senior Medical Director, Inflammation, Infectious Diseases and General Medicine (iiGM), Fortrea

An introduction to outcome measures

According to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) guidelines for systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE),³ disease activity should be measured at the beginning and end of the study as well as over the course of the trial. The objective is to reach the primary endpoint (change in Disease Activity Index [DAI] between the baseline and the end of the study), which needs to demonstrate a statistically significant difference between the treatment groups compared with any placebo arm.

There are six SLE disease activity indices currently used to assess and monitor patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) in clinical trials:

- 1. The updated version of British Isles Lupus Assessment Group (BILAG-2004)
- 2. European Consensus Lupus Activity Measurement (ECLAM)
- 3. Systemic Lupus Activity Measure Revised (SLAM-R)
- 4. Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Disease Activity Index 2000 (SLEDAI-2K)
- 5. Lupus Activity Index (LAI)
- 6. Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Activity Questionnaire for Population Studies (SLAQ)

There are three SLE responder indices:

- 1. Responder Index for Lupus Erythematosus (RIFLE)
- 2. SLE Responder Index (SRI)
- 3. BILAG-based Composite Lupus Assessment (BICLA)





The three SLE damage indices (patient self-reported questionnaires) are:

- 1. Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics/American College of Rheumatology-Damage Index (SLICC/SDI)
- 2. Lupus Damage Index Questionnaire (LDIQ)
- 3. Brief Index of Lupus Damage (BILD)⁴

As a note, the Lupus Multivariable Outcome Score (LuMOS) was used in two randomized controlled trials of belimumab in patients with SLE.

While several indices exist, BILAG is considered the preferred index by the FDA to evaluate reduction in disease activity in SLE clinical trials.⁵ The FDA guidance states that it is important to ensure that the selected DAI accurately assesses disease activity over time and it should also address signs/symptoms not related to SLE and how they will be scored (e.g., hematuria and/or pyuria due to urinary tract infection versus lupus nephritis).

Flares are also used as primary endpoints, so an index to measure flares should measure disease activity over a month, rather than at fixed time points, to avoid missing any flares over the course of the trial. The FDA accepts both the BILAG and SELENA-SLEDAI as flare indices.

Recent SLE clinical trials and measurement outcomes of primary endpoints are summarized in Table 1.³

| Drug | Target | Primary endpoint | Study outcome* | References | Phase/study name |
|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|
| Rituximab | CD20 | BILAG | Failure | Merrill, 2010 | II/III EXPLORER |
| Abatacept | CD80/86 | BILAG | Failure | Merrill, 2010 | llb |
| Atacicept | BAFF/APRIL | BILAG | Failure | lsenberg, 2015 | IIb APRIL-SLE |
| Belimumab | BAFF | SELENA-SLEDAI, SFI | Failure | Wallace, 2009 | 11 |
| Belimumab | BAFF | SRI-4 | Success | Navarra, 2011 | III/BLISS-52 |
| Tabalumab | BAFF | SRI-5 | Failure | lsenberg, 2016 | III/ILUMINATE2 |
| Epratuzumab | CD22 | BICLA | Failure | Wallace, 2014 | IIb EMBLEM |
| PF-04236921 | IL-6 | SRI-4 | Failure | Wallace, 2014 | Ш |
| Rontalizumab | INF-α | BILAG-2004 | Failure | Kalunian, 2016 | II ROSE |
| Sifalimumab | INF-α | SRI-4 | Success | Khamahta, 2016 | llb |
| Anifrolumab | Type 1 INF-R | SRI-4 | Failure | Furie, 2020 | III TULIP 1 |
| Anifrolumab | Type 1 INF-R | BICLA | Success | Furie, 2020 | III TULIP 2 |

Table 1: Recent clinical trials of drugs for SLE (non-organ specific)

*Study Outcome: study failure or success in demonstrating the achievement of their primary endpoint.

Outcome measures preferred for SLE clinical trials are:

SLEDAI (Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Disease Activity Index)

The SLEDAI is a global index that measures disease activity within the last 10 days, including 24 items collecting specific manifestations in nine organ systems: neurological, musculoskeletal, renal, mucocutaneous, general, heart, respiratory, vascular and hematological that are weighted by the type of manifestation, but not by severity.⁶ Disease activity may in theory range from 0 to 105. An advantage of SLEDAI is that it can be used for retrospective studies.

New versions have been developed such as SLEDAI-2K and SELENA-SLEDAI. All three indexes have the same weighting for parameters and organ damage but have different definitions for each item.

The SELENA-SLEDAI also contains the Physician Global Assessment scale and a flare index to evaluate worsening signs and symptoms. Activity is defined based on SLEDAI scores: no activity (SLEDAI=0), mild activity (SLEDAI=1 to 5), moderate activity (SLEDAI=6 to 10), high activity (SLEDAI=11 to 19) and very high activity (SLEDAI≥20).⁵

The SLEDAI-2K collects disease aspects as present or absent and may not reflect partial improvement, which limits its use in clinical trials.⁷

Valid, reliable tools, like SELENA-SLEDAI, exist for assessing disease activity and flare for Systemic Lupus Erythematosus (SLE) patients. However, these tools have been designed to be used in populations under study protocols based on strict inclusion/exclusion criteria. Although they are standardized, physicians who rate the patients should be trained on these assessments to minimize the risk of inter-rater variability and increase intra-rater reliability.

BILAG (British Isles Lupus Assessment Group)

An updated version of the BILAG, the BILAG-2004 Index, was published in 2005 in an attempt to improve the characteristics of the index. It evaluates manifestations over the previous four weeks in a total of eight organ systems, and nine in the revised index: constitutional, mucocutaneous, neuropsychiatric, musculoskeletal, cardiorespiratory, gastrointestinal, ophthalmic, renal and hematological.⁶ Activity in each organ system is scored as: A=most active disease; B=intermediate activity; C=mild, stable disease; D=previous involvement, currently inactive; E=no previous activity.⁸ (see Fig 1). The BILAG also is used to evaluate the occurrence of flares in patients with SLE. A severe flare is defined as a score of A, new appearance and a moderate flare is defined with a score of B, and a reoccurrence is defined with a score of D or E.

Table 29

| BILAG score | Description |
|-------------|---|
| BILAG-A | Requires disease-modifying treatment (prednizone >20 mg/day or immunosuppressant) |
| BILAG-B | Mild, reversible problems, requiring symptomatic therapy (antimalarial, NSAIDs, prednisone <20 mg/day) |
| BILAG-C | Stable, mild disease |
| BILAG-D | No activity in previously affected system |
| BILAG-E | System never involved |

In the past, the classic BILAG was used, as the primary endpoint, in the majority of SLE clinical trials, but currently, it is preferred to use the BILAG-2004 in lupus studies as it is more sensitive to change.

SRI (SLE Responder Index)

SRI is currently one of the most frequently used indices as a primary outcome measure in SLE clinical trials. It is a composite index that combines SELENA-SLEDAI, BILAG and PGA (Physician Global Assessment).

A responder is defined as 4 point or greater improvement of SELENA-SLEDAI score from baseline, no worsening of the physician global estimate (less than 0.3 points increase), and no new BILAG-A or two BILAG-B organ domain scores. SRI responders must meet all three criteria.

After the approval of belimumab by the U.S. FDA, which used SRI-4 as a primary endpoint, many other studies adopted this index as their primary endpoint. Using the modified SRI (excluding both anti-dsDNA and low complement [C3/C4] from the SELENA-SLEDAI component of the score), showed higher response rates.

Interestingly, anifrolumab (anti-type I interferon receptor antibody) failed in one of the Phase III clinical trials using SRI-4 as the primary endpoint. Nevertheless, a Phase II clinical trial using SRI-4 and another Phase III clinical trial using BICLA were successful. These results could be found under the drug clinical efficacy in the corresponding product marketing authorization document.

BICLA (BILAG-based Combined Lupus Assessment)

The BICLA combines BILAG, SLEDAI and the Physician Global Assessment.

Response as improvements includes:

- Improvement of BILAG 2004 A to BILAG B/C/D or of BILAG 2004 B to C/D
- No worsening in disease activity: no new BILAG 2004 A and ≤1 new BILAG 2004 B scores
- No worsening of baseline SLEDAI-2K total score
- No worsening in physician global estimate (<10% worsening)
- No treatment failure (defined as nonprotocol treatment, i.e., new or increased immunosuppressive or antimalarial therapy; increased systemic corticosteroids; or premature discontinuation of study treatment)

Other outcomes measures

SLAM (Systemic Lupus Activity Measure) and ECLAM (European Consensus Lupus Activity Measurement) are indices developed for scoring the severity of each organ dysfunction. The concept is good, but the weighting may not be appropriate (e.g., 0.5 points for renal injury in ECLAM equivalent to fatigue), and some domains may not be due to SLE activity such as general malaise, blood pressure, abdominal pain and Raynaud's phenomenon (SLAM).¹⁰

The SLAM has been revised (SLAM-R) based on experience with it in multi-observer studies and training of individuals in its use. 11

The ECLAM index includes 15 selected variables, and it appears to be an effective instrument for scoring patients with different degrees of disease activity. This is the first SLE disease activity index based on data from a very large number of lupus patients followed at many lupus centers in different countries. It might, therefore, serve as a standardized measure for future European clinical studies.¹² Furthermore, the ECLAM can be used in retrospective studies. Final assessment of the validity, reliability and sensitivity of this index is now underway.

The correlation between change in each index and physician global estimate in descending order was: ECLAM r=0.65; BILAG r=0.61; SLAM r=0.54 and the SLEDAI r=0.52 (all p<0.0001).¹³ SLEDAI showed the least sensitivity to change versus physician global assessment.

As shown above, all disease activity indices have their own qualities and flaws. This complicates the ability to quantify a decrease or an increase in disease activity and evaluate treatment efficacy for randomized clinical trials (RCTs).

Conclusion

Disease activity in SLE patients occurs in various organs with differing severities, stressing the necessity to find the most appropriate index for assessing therapeutic efficacy in SLE RCTs. While many indices have been created and validated over time, all have limitations when used to assess change in a patient's clinical status.

Most SLE indices are used only in clinical trials, and they are not used in standard clinical practice. Composite indices, such as SRI and BICLA, are preferred for RCTs as they not only cover disease activity but also flares and include the Physician Global Assessment.



Currently, many clinical trials fail in Phase II or III because of the definition of the primary outcome measure. Flares represent another complication as BILAG flares and SELENA-SLEDAI flares indices do not correlate with each other. Clinical trial design could be improved by avoiding the inclusion of flare rates or steroid withdrawal as the primary endpoint.¹⁴

Prompt and accurate SLE flare assessment is needed in routine patient care and in lupus clinical trials, where the time to first flare and the frequency and severity of flares should be considered as secondary endpoints.

A review of the literature in MEDLINE identified RCTs in lupus published during the past decade. A set of criteria was defined a priori to analyze these trials covering different aspects of steroid use in RCTs with regard to eligibility, randomization, post-randomization, steroid use, analysis and reporting.¹⁵ Stratified randomization was rarely used. Steroid dosing during the intervention was reported as a secondary outcome measure in only a few trials. Steroid use variability improves if minimized and sparing effects are defined. Artificial mandated use of steroids and tapering are not used in the usual clinical practices, which is the reason why they fail as a primary endpoint.

Many questions remain to be addressed for SLE clinical trial design

- Which outcome measure is the most appropriate?
- Should flare prevention be considered as a primary endpoint?
- Should the same index be used to measure disease activity and flares in a clinical trial?

Keeping patient safety and well-being first, an effort should be made to achieve consensus on finding the best tool for measuring improvement in disease activity in lupus patients.



References

- Thanou A, Jupe E, Purushothaman M, Niewold TB, Munroe ME. Clinical disease activity and flare in SLE: Current concepts and novel biomarkers. *J Autoimmun*. 2021 May;119:102615. doi: 10.1016/j. jaut.2021.102615.
- Arnaud L, Tektonidout, MG. Long-term outcomes in systemic lupus erythematosus: trends over time and major contributors. *Rheumatology* (Oxford). 2020 Dec; 59(Suppl 5): v29-v38.
- U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Guidance for Industry Systemic Lupus Erythematosus—Developing Medical Products for Treatment. https://www.fda.gov/media/71150/download Accessed 21. Feb. 2024.
- Castrejón I, Tani C, Jolly M, Huang A, Mosca M. Indices to assess patients with systemic lupus erythematosus in clinical trials, long-term observational studies, and clinical care. *Clin Exp Rheumatol*. 2014 Sep-Oct;32(5 Suppl 85):S-85-95.
- Jesus D, Matos A, Henriques C, Zen M, Larosa M, Iaccarino L, Da Silva JAP, Doria A, Inês LS. Derivation and validation of the SLE Disease Activity Score (SLE-DAS): a new SLE continuous measure with high sensitivity for changes in disease activity. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2019 Mar;78(3):365-371. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2018-214502.
- Mosca M, Merrill JT, Bombardieri S. Assessment of Disease Activity in Systemic Lupus Erythematosus. In Smolen JS, Tsokos G, Gordon, C. Systemic Lupus Erythematosus: A Companion to Rheumatology. 2007. Mosby. Pages 19-23.
- Castrejón I, Tani C, Jolly M, Huang A, Mosca M. Indices to assess patients with systemic lupus erythematosus in clinical trials, long-term observational studies, and clinical care. *Clin Exp Rheumatol.* 2014 Sep-Oct;32(5 Suppl 85):S-85-95. Epub 2014 Oct 30. PMID: 25365095.
- Stoll T, Stucki G, Malik J, Pyke S, Isenberg DA. Further validation of the BILAG disease activity index in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 1996 Oct;55(10):756-60. doi: 10.1136/ard.55.10.756.
- Hay EM, Bacon PA, Gordon C, Isenberg DA, Maddison P, Snaith ML, Symmons DP, Viner N, Zoma A. The BILAG index: a reliable and valid instrument for measuring clinical disease activity in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Q J Med.* 1993 Jul;86(7):447-58. PMID: 8210301.
- 10. Ohmura K, Which is the best SLE activity index for clinical trials? *Modern Rheumatology*, Volume 31, Issue 1, 2 January 2021, Pages 20–28, https://doi.org/10.1080/14397595.2020.1775928.
- 11. Bae SC, Koh HK, Chang DK, Kim MH, Park JK, Kim SY. Reliability and validity of systemic lupus activity measure-revised (SLAM-R) for measuring clinical disease activity in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Lupus*. 2001;10(6):405-9. doi: 10.1191/096120301678646146.
- 12. Vitali C, et al. Disease activity in systemic lupus erythematosus: report of the Consensus Study Group of the European Workshop for Rheumatology Research. II. Identification of the variables indicative of disease activity and their use in the development of an activity score. The European Consensus Study Group for Disease Activity in SLE. *Clin Exp Rheumatol*. 1992 Sep-Oct;10(5):541-7.
- 13. Ward MM, Marx AS, Barry NN. Comparison of the validity and sensitivity to change of 5 activity indices in systemic lupus erythematosus. *J Rheumatol.* 2000 Mar;27(3):664-70. PMID: 10743805.
- 14. Wallace DJ. SLE: Clinical Trials Challenges, Pitfalls, and Steps Towards Success. https://azrheum.org/getmedia/4c6c35ca-1316-4b5e-a5c2-c952294fdf1b/20180603-0900-Wallace.aspx Accessed 7 Mar 2024.
- Corzillius M, Bae SC. Methodological issues of corticosteroid use in SLE clinical trials. Lupus. 1999;8(8):692-7. doi: 10.1191/096120399680411407.

LEARN MORE at fortrea.com

